arXiv:1205.6668v1 [math.AT] 30 May 2012

A NOTE ON THE PROOF OF THE BLAKERS-MASSEY THEOREM FOR n-CUBES

BRIAN A. MUNSON

Abstract. Goodwillie's proof of the Blakers-Massey Theorem for n-cubes relies on a lemma whose proof invokes transversality. The rest of his proof follows from general facts about cubes of spaces and connectivities of maps. We present a purely homotopy-theoretic proof of this lemma. The technique is a generalization and slight modification of an argument originally due to Puppe used to prove the Blakers-Massey Theorem for squares.

1. Introduction

The Blakers-Massey Theorem for n-cubes, also known as the $(n+1)$-ad Connectivity Theorem, is a result about higher-order excision for homotopy groups. The classical result for squares is thus sometimes referred to as the Triad Connectivity Theorem. The proof of the Blakers-Massey Theorem for n-cubes is originally due to Barratt and Whitehead [1], with later improvements by Ellis and Steiner [2] to handle the more delicate non-simply connected case. A simpler more direct proof involving only space-level constructions was later given by Goodwillie [3]. As Goodwillie notes, a good deal of his proof is quite formal, relying on general results about cubical diagrams and connectivities of maps, and can be reduced through such arguments to proving the following key lemma, which uses a transversality argument. We refer the reader to [3] for definitions and terminology for cubical diagrams.

For a positive integer k, let $\underline{k} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a pushout cube of spaces, formed by attaching cells e_j of dimension $d_j + 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ to a space $X = \mathcal{X}(\emptyset)$. That is, $\mathcal{X}(T) = X \cup \{e_j : j \in T\}$ for $T \subset \underline{k}$ for some choice of attaching maps $\partial e_j \to X$, $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Lemma 1.1. *[Lemma 2.7 of [3]] With $\mathcal{X}$ as above, choose a basepoint $x \in \mathcal{X}(\underline{k})$, and for $T \subset \underline{k-1}$ let $\mathcal{F}(T) = \mathrm{hofiber}_x(\mathcal{X}(T) \to \mathcal{X}(T \cup \{k\}))$. Then the $(k-1)$-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is $(-1 + \sum_j d_j)$-cocartesian.*

We learned an elementary proof of the Blakers-Massey theorem for squares from tom Dieck's book [5], who credits Puppe [4]. The main theme of this proof is subdivision, much like proofs of excision for homology. We adapt these ideas to prove Lemma 1.1 without use of general position arguments. Our proof still follows Goodwillie's quite closely, and we replace his "dimension counting" argument with a "coordinate counting" one. The modest contribution of this note is thus to show how one can avoid arguments in the smooth category.

2. Preliminaries

We first make a simplification in the hypotheses of Lemma 1.1. If $d_j = -1$ for all j, then the conclusion of Lemma 1.1 is vacuously true. Without loss of generality $d_k \geq 0$. The basepoint in $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ can be joined by a path to some point in X, so we may as well assume the basepoint lies in X by the invariance of homotopy fibers over path components. If $d_i = -1$ for any other value of i, then $X \to \mathcal{X}(i)$ is the inclusion of X with a disjoint point added. This point plays no role in any of the homotopy fibers appearing in the cube $\mathcal{F}$, and we may ignore it altogether. More precisely, for this value of i and a basepoint $x \in X$, we have $\mathrm{hofiber}_x(\mathcal{X}(T) \to \mathcal{X}(T \cup \{k\})) = \mathrm{hofiber}_x(\mathcal{X}(T \setminus \{i\}) \to \mathcal{X}((T \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{k\})$ for all $T \subset \underline{k-1}$. Thus we may assume $d_j \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. The remainder of this section is straightforward adaptations of material from Section 6.9 of [5].

Definition 2.1. A *cube* W in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of the form

$$W = W(a, \delta, L) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i \leq x_i \leq a_i + \delta \text{ for } i \in L, x_i = a_i \text{ for } i \notin L\},$$

where $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta > 0$, and $L \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ (possibly empty). Define $\dim(W) = |L|$. The *boundary* ∂W of W is the set of all x in W such that $x_i = a_i$ or $x_i = a_i + \delta$ for at least one value of $i \in L$. The boundary ∂W is a union of *faces*, each indexed by a subset of L in an evident way. A face of a cube is also a cube.

Definition 2.2. With W as above, for each $j = 1$ to k define

$$K_p^{j,k}(W) = \left\{ x \in W : \frac{\delta(j-1)}{k} + a_i < x_i < \frac{\delta j}{k} + a_i \text{ for at least } p \text{ values of } i \in L \right\}.$$

If $p \leq q$, then $K_q^{j,k}(W) \subset K_p^{j,k}(W)$. The following lemma gives the basic technical deformation result, analogous to 6.9.1 of [5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let Y be a space with a subspace $A \subset Y$, W a cube, j, k positive integers with $j \leq k$, and $f : W \to Y$ a map. Suppose that for $p \leq \dim(W)$ we have*

$$f^{-1}(A) \cap W' \subset K_p^{j,k}(W')$$

for all cubes $W' \subset \partial W$. Then there exists a map $g : W \to Y$ homotopic to f relative to ∂W such that

$$g^{-1}(A) \subset K_p^{j,k}(W).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, $W = [0,1]^n$. Define h to be the linear expansion of $[\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]^n$ into $[0,1]^n$ along rays centered at $(\frac{2j-1}{2k}, \ldots, \frac{2j-1}{2k})$, and let $g = f \circ h$. The proof of 6.91 in [5] can now be adapted in a straightforward way. □

Suppose Y is a space with open subsets $Y_\emptyset, Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$ such that Y is the union of $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$ along Y_0. Let $f : I^n \to Y$ be a map. By the Lebesgue Covering Lemma, we can decompose I^n into cubes W such that $f(W) \subset Y_j$ for some j depending on W. The following is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 6.9.2 in [5], as is its proof.

Theorem 2.4. *With the Y_j and f as above, assume that for each j, $(Y_j, Y_\emptyset)$ is d_j-connected, with $d_j \geq 0$ (i.e., $Y_\emptyset \to Y_j$ is d_j-connected). Then there is a homotopy f_t of f such that*

(1) *If* $f(W) \subset Y_j$*, then* $f_t(W) \subset Y_j$ *for all* t*,*
(2) *If* $f(W) \subset Y_\emptyset$*, then* $f_t(W) = f(W)$ *for all* t*, and*
(3) *If* $f(W) \subset Y_j$*,* $f_1^{-1}(Y_j \setminus Y_\emptyset) \cap W \subset K^{j,k}_{d_j+1}(W)$ *for all* $j = 1$ *to* k*.*

3. Proof

We need to convert the strongly cocartesian cube $\mathcal{X}$ in the statement of Lemma 1.1 into one where the maps are inclusions of open sets in order to apply the previous results. For each $1 \leq j \leq k$ and corresponding cell e_j with attaching map $f_j : \partial e_j \to X$, assume $e_j = D^{d_j}$, put $N_j = D^{d_j} - \{0\}$ and let V_j be the interior of D^{d_j}. Define a k-cube $S \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(S) = Y_S$ for $S \subset \underline{k}$ as follows. Let $U = X \cup_j N_j$; the inclusion $X \to U$ is a homotopy equivalence, and U is open in $\mathcal{X}(\underline{k})$. For $S \subset \underline{k}$, let $Y_S = U \cup_{j \in T} V_j$. Then Y_S is open in $Y_{\underline{k}} = \mathcal{X}(\underline{k})$ for each S and the inclusion $\mathcal{X}(S) \to \mathcal{Y}(S)$ gives rise to a map of k-cubes $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ which is an equivalence for each S. Now we are ready to prove Lemma 1.1.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. With $\mathcal{Y}(S) = Y_S$ as above, choose a basepoint $y \in Y_\emptyset$, put $\mathcal{F}'(T) = \mathrm{hofiber}_y(Y_T \to Y_{T \cup \{k\}})$ for $T \subset \underline{k-1}$, and let $C = \mathrm{hofiber}_y(Y_{\underline{k-1}} \to Y_{\underline{k-1}}) \simeq *$. As indicated above, $\mathcal{F}'(T) \simeq \mathcal{F}(T)$. Following Goodwillie, it is enough to show that the cube $T \mapsto \mathcal{F}^*(T) = \mathcal{F}'(T) \cup C$ is $(-1 + \sum_j d_j)$-cocartesian, or that the pair

$$\left(\mathcal{F}^*(\underline{k-1}), \cup_{j \in \underline{k-1}} \mathcal{F}^*(\underline{k-1} - j)\right)$$

is $(-1 + \sum_j d_j)$-connected. Let $\phi : (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (A, B)$ be a map. The map ϕ is adjoint to a map $\Phi : I^n \times I \to A$ with boundary conditions

(B0) $\Phi(z, 0) = y \in Y_\emptyset$ is the basepoint for all $z \in I^n$,
(B1) $\Phi(z, 1) \in \cup_{j \in \underline{k-1}} Y_j = Y_{\underline{k-1}}$ for all $z \in I^n$, and
(B2) For each $z \in \partial I^n$ there exists $i(z) \in \underline{k}$ so that $\Phi(z, t) \in \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$ for all $t \in I$.

We will make a homotopy of Φ preserving (B0)-(B2) such that for each $z \in I^n$ there exists $i(z) \in \underline{k}$ so that $\Phi(z, t) \in \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$ for all $t \in I$.

We apply Theorem 2.4 to $\Phi : I^n \times I \to Y = Y_{\underline{k}}$. We obtain a decomposition of $I^n \times I$ into cubes W such that for each W there is some j so that $\Phi(W) \subset Y_j$, and a homotopy Φ_r for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ of $\Phi = \Phi_0$ such that

(1) $\Phi(W) \subset Y_j$ implies $\Phi_r(W) \subset Y_j$ for all r,
(2) $\Phi(W) \subset Y_0$ implies $\Phi_r(W) = \Phi(W)$ for all r, and
(3) $\Phi(W) \subset Y_j$ implies $\Phi_1^{-1}(Y_j \setminus Y_0) \cap W \subset K^{j,k}_{d_j+1}(W)$ for all $j = 1$ to k.

First we prove that Φ_r satisfies (B0)-(B2) for all r.

(B0) Since $\Phi(z, 0) = y \in Y_0$ is the basepoint for all $z \in I^n$, we have for all cubes $W \subset I^n \times \{0\}$ that $\Phi(W) = y$, and the second condition above implies $\Phi_r(W) = \Phi(W)$ for all r, so that $\Phi_r(z, 0) = y$ for all r.
(B1) Since $\Phi(z, 1) \in \cup_{j \in \underline{k-1}} Y_j = Y_{\underline{k-1}}$ for all $z \in I^n$, then for all cubes $W \subset I^n \times \{1\}$, $\Phi(W) \subset Y_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Hence $\Phi_r(W) \subset Y_j$ as well by the first condition above, and thus $\Phi_r(z, 1) \subset Y_{\underline{k-1}}$ for all r.

(B2) We know that for each $z \in \partial I^n$ there exists $i(z) \in \underline{k}$ so that $\Phi(\{z\} \times I) \subset \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$. Let $W_1, \dots, W_h$ be cubes such that $\{z\} \times I \subset W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_h$ and so that each W_a contains a point of the form (z,t) for some t. Since $\Phi(\{z\} \times I) \subset \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$, for each $a = 1$ to h we must have $\Phi(W_a) \subset Y_{j(a)}$ for some $j(a) \neq i(z)$. This implies $\Phi_r(W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_h) \subset Y_{j(1)} \cup \cdots \cup Y_{j(h)} \subset \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$ for all r.

Now we show that Φ_1 actually satisfies the stronger condition that for each $z \in I^n$ there exists $i(z) \in \underline{k}$ so that $\Phi_1(z,t) \in \cup_{j \neq i(z)} Y_j$ for all $t \in I$. Let $\pi : I^n \times I \to I^n$ be the projection. We claim that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \pi\left(\Phi_1^{-1}(Y_j \setminus Y_\emptyset)\right) = \emptyset$$

if $n < \sum_j d_j$. Let $y \in \pi\left(\Phi_1^{-1}(Y_j \setminus Y_\emptyset)\right)$ for all j. Write $y = \pi(z)$ for any suitable $z \in I^n \times I$ (of course, $z = (y,t)$ for some t). Since $z \in W$ for some cube W, we have $z \in W \cap \Phi_1^{-1}(Y_j \setminus Y_\emptyset)$ for every j, so by the third conclusion of Theorem 2.4 (item (3) above), $z \in K^{j,k}_{d_j+1}(W)$ for each j. Hence for each $j = 1$ to k, z has at least $d_j + 1$ coordinates z_l so that $\frac{j-1}{k} < z_l < \frac{j}{k}$, which implies that y has at least d_j coodinates y_l with the same bounds. This is impossible if $n < \sum_j d_j$, and so the intersection above is indeed empty. Hence there is some $i(z) \in \underline{k}$ so that $y \notin \pi\left(\Phi_1^{-1}(Y_{i(z)} \setminus Y_\emptyset)\right)$; that is, for all t, $z = (y,t) \notin \Phi_1^{-1}(Y_{i(z)} \setminus Y_\emptyset)$. This establishes the surjectivity part of the statement that (A,B) is $(-1 + \sum_j d_j)$-connected. A similar argument with 1-parameter families establishes injectivity. □

References

1. M. G. Barratt and J.H.C. Whitehead, *The first non-vanishing group of an* $(n+1)$*-ad*, Proceedings of the London Math. Soc. **6** (1956), 417–439.
2. Graham J. Ellis and Richard Steiner, *Higher-dimensional crossed modules and the homotopy groups of* $(n+1)$*-ads*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **46** (1987), 117–136.
3. Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus II: Analytic functors*, K-Theory **5** (1991/92), no. 4, 295–332.
4. K.H. Kamps T. tom Dieck and D. Puppe, *Homotopietheorie*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 157, Springer, 1970.
5. T. tom Dieck, *Algebraic topology*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD

E-mail address: `munson@usna.edu`